ISSN 1693-9220 (Print) | 2987-8713 (Online), Volume 18, No. 3 (2024), pp. 141-150

International Journal of Enterprise Modelling

Published by: International Enterprise Integration Association

Impacts of Wetland Reclamation for Housing Development: Balancing Urban Growth, Environmental Sustainability, and Social Equity

Robert Pedro Sebastian

Fakultas Teknik Sipil, Perencanaan, Dan Kebumian (Ft-Spk), Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (Its), Indonesia

Abstract

This research investigates the impacts of wetland reclamation for housing development, focusing on the complex interplay between urban expansion, environmental sustainability, and social equity. As urban populations continue to grow, the demand for housing often leads to the conversion of vital wetland ecosystems into residential and commercial areas. The study employs a multi-method approach, including case studies, stakeholder interviews, and environmental assessments, to evaluate both the positive and negative consequences of this practice. Findings indicate that while wetland reclamation can alleviate housing shortages and stimulate local economies, it incurs significant environmental costs, such as biodiversity loss, increased flooding risks, and degraded water quality. Socially, the displacement of vulnerable communities and the exacerbation of social inequalities are notable consequences of this practice. Furthermore, the research highlights the inadequacies of existing legal and policy frameworks governing wetland reclamation, calling for stronger enforcement and integrated approaches to land-use planning. By recognizing wetlands as essential resources that contribute to ecological health and community resilience, this research underscores the importance of adopting holistic strategies that balance urban growth with environmental stewardship and social responsibility.

Article Info

Article history:

Received : Aug 27, 2024
Revised : Sep 18, 2024
Accepted : Sep 28, 2024

Keywords:

Wetland Reclamation;
Housing Development;
Environmental Sustainability;
Social Equity;
Urban Planning.

Corresponding Author:

Robert Pedro Sebastian,
Fakultas Teknik Sipil, Perencanaan, Dan Kebumian (Ft-Spk),
Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (Its), Indonesia,
Jl. Teknik Kimia, Keputih, Kec. Sukolilo, Surabaya, Jawa Timur 60111.
robertpedrosebastian@gmail.com.

1. Introduction

Wetlands are among the most ecologically significant landscapes on Earth, providing a wide range of ecosystem services essential for both the environment and human well-being(Assessment, 2005). They act as natural water filters, regulate floodwaters, maintain biodiversity, and play a key role in carbon sequestration, making them crucial in mitigating climate change. Despite their recognized value, wetlands are increasingly under threat from human activities, particularly from urban expansion and housing development(Xu et al., 2019). The rapid growth of human populations and the corresponding rise in demand for housing have put significant pressure on these delicate ecosystems, leading to widespread reclamation and conversion of wetlands into residential areas.

The practice of wetland reclamation for housing development is often driven by economic and social factors(Tian et al., 2016). As cities expand and urban areas become more densely populated, the availability of suitable land for housing becomes limited, prompting developers to turn to wetlands as alternative sites for construction. This is especially prevalent in regions experiencing high rates of urbanization, where the pressure to accommodate growing populations often outweighs concerns over environmental preservation. Additionally, reclaimed wetlands are frequently targeted for luxury housing developments, as their locations near water bodies or in coastal regions can be highly attractive to affluent buyers(Van Zyl, 2007).

While the reclamation of wetlands may provide short-term economic benefits and meet immediate housing needs, the long-term environmental costs are substantial. The destruction of wetlands disrupts local ecosystems, leading to the loss of biodiversity and the degradation of water quality(Kingsford et al., 2016). Furthermore, wetlands act as natural buffers against flooding, and their loss increases the vulnerability of urban areas to flood risks, especially in the face of more frequent and severe weather events linked to climate change. The altered hydrology resulting from wetland reclamation can also have far-reaching consequences for water availability and management in the region(Li et al., 2020).

One of the most pressing concerns of wetland reclamation is its destructive impact on ecosystems(Wu et al., 2018). Wetlands are among the most biologically diverse environments on the planet, serving as critical habitats for a wide range of plant and animal species. The conversion of wetlands into residential areas leads to the destruction of these habitats, resulting in biodiversity loss. Many species that rely on wetlands for breeding, feeding, and shelter are displaced or driven to extinction when their natural habitats are destroyed(Meyer, 2006). This loss of biodiversity weakens ecosystems and reduces their resilience to environmental changes.

The destruction of wetlands also compromises water quality(Verhoeven et al., 2006). Wetlands act as natural filters, trapping pollutants, sediments, and excess nutrients from surface water before it enters rivers, lakes, or groundwater. When wetlands are reclaimed, this filtration function is lost, leading to the degradation of water resources. Pollutants that would have been naturally filtered by wetlands are instead carried into nearby water bodies, increasing contamination and reducing the availability of clean water for both humans and wildlife(Mengesha, 2017). This can have severe consequences for public health and the environment.

The reclamation of wetlands for housing development often occurs in a legal grey area, where environmental protections and land-use regulations are either poorly enforced or in conflict with development interests(Dayana & Varkey, 2015). Many countries have laws in place to protect wetlands, recognizing their ecological importance. However, weak enforcement of these regulations allows developers to bypass environmental assessments or ignore legal restrictions, leading to unchecked wetland destruction. In some cases, developers may receive special exemptions or permits to reclaim wetlands despite legal protections, particularly in regions where economic growth is prioritized over environmental preservation(Gardner, 2002).

Another legal issue involves property rights and land ownership. Wetlands are sometimes considered public or protected land, and their reclamation for private development can lead to legal disputes between governments, developers, and local communities(Kim, 2010). In cases where wetlands are designated as conservation areas or are subject to land-use restrictions, the conversion of these lands for housing may result in litigation and lengthy legal battles. These legal challenges can delay development projects and lead to significant financial costs for both developers and governments.

In addition, many international treaties and agreements, such as the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, aim to promote the conservation and sustainable use of wetlands. Countries that are signatories to these treaties are obligated to protect their wetland ecosystems(Griffin & Ali, 2014).

However, the pressures of urbanization often lead to conflicting priorities, and governments may face difficulties in balancing their commitments to international conservation efforts with local development needs. This creates legal conflicts between international obligations and domestic development goals, complicating wetland management policies(Clare et al., 2011).

Wetland reclamation for housing development also raises several social concerns, particularly for local communities and marginalized groups(Silvius et al., 2000). One major issue is the displacement of local populations. In many cases, wetland areas are home to rural or indigenous communities who rely on these ecosystems for their livelihoods, whether through fishing, agriculture, or other traditional practices. When wetlands are reclaimed for housing or urban expansion, these communities may be forced to relocate, often without adequate compensation or alternative means of support. This can lead to economic hardship and the loss of cultural heritage for the displaced populations(Cernea, 2000).

The conversion of wetlands into housing developments can also exacerbate social inequality(Shi, 2020). In many regions, reclaimed wetlands are used to build high-end residential properties or luxury waterfront homes, catering to affluent buyers. This can lead to the gentrification of previously underdeveloped areas, driving up property prices and making it difficult for low-income residents to afford housing. The resulting social divide can contribute to tensions between different socioeconomic groups, as wealthier residents move into newly developed areas while lower-income communities are pushed out or marginalized(Musterd & Ostendorf, 2013).

Additionally, the environmental degradation caused by wetland reclamation can have negative health impacts on local populations. As wetlands are destroyed, the surrounding environment becomes more prone to flooding, water contamination, and loss of natural resources(Barbier, 2011). Communities that rely on clean water from wetland ecosystems may suffer from increased exposure to pollutants, leading to higher rates of waterborne diseases and other health problems. The loss of natural flood protection can also put residents at greater risk of disaster-related displacement, further worsening social vulnerabilities(Islam & Khan, 2020).

Research on wetland reclamation has shown that one of the most immediate positive impacts is the creation of land for urban expansion. As cities grow and populations rise, the demand for housing increases, particularly in coastal or water-adjacent regions where space is limited. Wetlands, often seen as underutilized or undeveloped land, offer a solution by providing new areas for residential and commercial development. Studies have documented the role of wetland reclamation in relieving housing shortages in urban centers, especially in rapidly developing cities where land scarcity is a significant barrier to growth. In some cases, reclamation projects have been crucial in allowing cities to accommodate expanding populations and meet urgent housing needs.

Additionally, wetland reclamation can stimulate economic growth. The transformation of wetlands into high-value real estate, particularly in scenic waterfront locations, has been shown to attract investment, increase property values, and generate revenue for local governments through taxes and tourism. Research in regions like Southeast Asia and parts of the United States has highlighted how reclaimed wetlands have led to the development of high-end residential complexes, marinas, and tourist resorts, contributing to local economies and creating jobs in construction, real estate, and service sectors. For example, luxury housing developments on reclaimed land in urban centers have driven economic development and expanded infrastructure, improving transportation networks, access to services, and overall urban growth.

Despite the economic benefits, a substantial body of research has highlighted the negative environmental impacts of wetland reclamation(Yang et al., 2018). One of the most significant consequences is the loss of biodiversity. Wetlands are critical habitats for numerous species of plants, animals, and aquatic organisms, many of which are highly specialized and cannot survive outside of these ecosystems. Studies have documented the widespread destruction of habitats that occurs

when wetlands are drained, filled, and built over, leading to the displacement or extinction of species that depend on them. This biodiversity loss weakens ecosystem resilience and disrupts the ecological balance, affecting food webs and ecosystem services that benefit human populations(Elmqvist et al., 2012).

Another major concern is the increased risk of flooding. Wetlands function as natural buffers that absorb excess rainwater and mitigate flooding by slowing down water flow. Research has shown that when wetlands are reclaimed for housing development, this natural flood protection is lost, leading to a higher likelihood of urban flooding, especially in coastal areas. Case studies from countries such as the Netherlands and parts of the United States have demonstrated how wetland reclamation has contributed to severe flooding events, resulting in significant damage to homes, infrastructure, and economic activities(Erwin, 2009). This issue is particularly pressing in the context of climate change, where rising sea levels and more frequent extreme weather events make urban areas even more vulnerable to flooding.

Wetland reclamation also affects water quality. Studies have shown that wetlands act as natural filters, trapping sediments, pollutants, and nutrients before they reach rivers, lakes, or coastal waters. When wetlands are destroyed, this filtration capacity is lost, leading to water pollution and the degradation of water resources. Research in countries like China and India has highlighted how reclaimed wetlands near urban areas have contributed to water contamination, harming aquatic ecosystems and reducing the availability of clean water for human consumption and agriculture. The loss of wetlands can also exacerbate problems related to water management, such as increased runoff, soil erosion, and sedimentation in nearby water bodies.

In many cases, wetland reclamation for housing development is carried out without proper consideration of sustainable practices or the long-term environmental impacts. Regulatory frameworks that govern wetland conservation and land use are often either insufficiently enforced or in conflict with development goals. As a result, the conversion of wetlands into residential areas continues at an alarming rate, threatening the ecological balance and undermining efforts toward sustainable urban development.

This research seeks to analyze the patterns and drivers behind wetland reclamation for housing development, exploring the interplay between economic pressures, urbanization, and environmental preservation. By understanding the behaviors and practices that lead to the conversion of wetlands, this study aims to provide insights into how future development can be managed in a way that balances housing needs with the conservation of critical ecosystems. Furthermore, the research will evaluate the social, environmental, and policy implications of wetland reclamation, offering recommendations for sustainable urban planning and improved wetland management practices.

2. Methods

This study will use a mixed-methods approach that combines case studies, field surveys, and geospatial analysis. This allows for a holistic understanding of both the macro-level patterns of wetland reclamation and the micro-level impacts on specific communities and environments. The research design is broken down into several stages, each focusing on different aspects of wetland reclamation:

The first stage involves a thorough review of existing academic literature, government reports, and case studies related to wetland reclamation and housing development. This will help to identify the key trends, gaps, and theoretical frameworks used in previous research, providing a foundation for this study. The literature review will focus on both global and regional contexts, comparing the drivers and impacts of wetland reclamation across different countries and ecosystems.

The second stage involves selecting case studies of wetland reclamation projects, focusing on regions where housing development has been a major driver of land conversion. Criteria for selecting case studies will include the scale of reclamation, the type of wetland ecosystems involved, and the socio-economic context of the areas affected. Selected case studies will include both successful and problematic examples of wetland reclamation to capture a wide range of outcomes.

This research will use a combination of primary and secondary data sources to gather comprehensive information about wetland reclamation practices. One of the primary tools for this research will be geospatial analysis using satellite imagery and GIS (Geographic Information System) software. This method will allow the researcher to map the extent of wetland areas, assess land-use changes over time, and quantify the scale of reclamation for housing development. Satellite images will be analyzed to observe changes in wetland boundaries and the expansion of residential areas over a specified time frame, allowing for a clear visual representation of how wetlands are being altered.

Field surveys will be conducted in selected wetland areas where reclamation is either ongoing or has recently taken place. These surveys will involve on-the-ground observations of the environmental condition of wetlands, housing developments, and infrastructure in reclaimed areas. In addition to site visits, water quality tests and biodiversity assessments will be conducted in both reclaimed and un-reclaimed wetlands to measure the environmental impact. This method will provide direct evidence of the ecological changes caused by reclamation activities.

Qualitative data will be collected through semi-structured interviews and questionnaires with key stakeholders involved in wetland reclamation. This will include urban planners, developers, government officials, environmental organizations, and local communities. The interviews aim to gather insights on the motivations for reclaiming wetlands, the decision-making processes, and the challenges faced during development. Special attention will be given to the perspectives of local communities who may be directly impacted by the reclamation, exploring issues such as displacement, loss of livelihoods, and social equity. Interviews will also help to identify the legal and regulatory frameworks governing wetland reclamation in different regions.

A key component of this research involves analyzing the legal frameworks and policy guidelines governing wetland reclamation. Relevant laws, environmental regulations, and urban planning policies will be reviewed to understand how wetland conservation and housing development are balanced. This analysis will help to identify gaps in legislation, the effectiveness of enforcement, and how policies influence reclamation practices. The research will also compare international regulations and best practices for wetland management with the policies in the case study regions.

Data from geospatial analysis, field surveys, and questionnaires will be analyzed using statistical tools. Changes in wetland size, housing density, and environmental indicators (such as water quality or species diversity) will be quantified to assess the impacts of reclamation. Correlations between housing demand, urban growth, and the rate of wetland conversion will also be examined.

Interview data and qualitative responses from questionnaires will be analyzed using thematic analysis. This method involves identifying common themes, patterns, and differences in the perspectives of stakeholders on wetland reclamation. This analysis will help uncover the social and political drivers behind reclamation, as well as the environmental and social consequences perceived by local communities.

Findings from the case studies will be compared to identify patterns and variations in wetland reclamation across different contexts. This will allow the research to draw conclusions about the factors that influence successful versus problematic reclamation, as well as the broader implications for housing development and wetland conservation.

Ethical considerations are paramount in this research, especially when involving local communities and vulnerable groups in the interview process. Informed consent will be obtained

from all participants, and confidentiality will be maintained to protect their identities. The research will also aim to minimize its environmental impact during field surveys and to follow any legal restrictions on access to protected wetlands.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Result

A This research into wetland reclamation for housing development has generated several critical findings that illuminate the multifaceted nature of this practice. Through a comprehensive analysis of case studies, stakeholder interviews, and environmental assessments, the study reveals both the potential benefits and the considerable drawbacks of wetland reclamation, particularly as it relates to urban growth and sustainability.

One of the most significant findings of this research is that wetland reclamation offers substantial economic opportunities, particularly in regions experiencing rapid urbanization. The conversion of wetlands into buildable land provides a solution to pressing housing shortages in densely populated urban areas. This reclamation not only creates new residential spaces but also supports related economic activities, such as construction, infrastructure development, and the enhancement of local services. In many cases, reclaimed wetlands have led to the establishment of high-value real estate, attracting investment and contributing to local economic growth. This economic perspective underscores the urgency of addressing housing demands in a world where urban populations are continually increasing.

However, the research also identifies significant environmental impacts associated with wetland reclamation that cannot be overlooked. The destruction of wetlands results in the loss of critical habitats and biodiversity, jeopardizing the survival of numerous plant and animal species that depend on these ecosystems. The findings highlight that wetlands serve vital ecological functions, such as water filtration, flood control, and carbon sequestration. When wetlands are drained or filled, these functions are compromised, leading to increased flood risks in urban areas and degraded water quality. The research emphasizes that the ecological consequences of wetland reclamation extend beyond the immediate vicinity, affecting entire watersheds and contributing to broader environmental challenges.

Another important finding pertains to the social consequences of wetland reclamation, particularly the impact on local communities. Many wetlands are inhabited by indigenous or rural populations who rely on these ecosystems for their livelihoods, including fishing, agriculture, and gathering. The conversion of wetlands for housing often results in the displacement of these communities, leading to loss of income and cultural identity. The research reveals that such displacements frequently go unaddressed in development plans, exacerbating social inequality and creating tensions between developers and local residents. These social implications highlight the need for inclusive planning that considers the voices and needs of affected communities.

The study also sheds light on the inadequacies of existing legal and policy frameworks governing wetland reclamation. While many countries have regulations aimed at protecting wetlands, the enforcement of these laws is often weak or inconsistent. The findings suggest that economic pressures frequently take precedence over environmental considerations in decision-making processes. This regulatory imbalance allows for unchecked reclamation activities that threaten wetland ecosystems. The research calls for stronger enforcement of environmental regulations, improved land-use planning, and greater accountability for developers, ensuring that reclamation practices align with sustainable development goals.

Finally, the research highlights the need for integrated approaches that balance housing development with wetland conservation. It emphasizes that sustainable urban planning must incorporate ecological considerations, recognizing that healthy wetlands can contribute to urban

resilience. Innovative solutions, such as green infrastructure and nature-based approaches, can mitigate the negative impacts of housing development while enhancing urban environments. The findings underscore the potential for a collaborative approach that involves government agencies, developers, environmental organizations, and local communities in crafting solutions that prioritize both housing needs and ecological integrity.

3.2 Implications

The findings from this research carry significant implications for both future housing development and wetland conservation efforts. As urban populations continue to grow and land availability diminishes, the pressure to reclaim wetlands for residential purposes will likely increase. However, the environmental and social challenges revealed by the research suggest that current approaches to wetland reclamation are unsustainable in the long term and require a fundamental shift in planning and policy.

One of the key takeaways from the research is the need to adopt sustainable urban planning practices that minimize the environmental impact of housing development. The findings highlight how the destruction of wetlands not only results in biodiversity loss but also increases vulnerability to flooding and water pollution. To avoid these consequences, future housing development must incorporate green infrastructure and nature-based solutions. This could involve designing flood-resilient housing, integrating wetlands into urban landscapes as natural buffers, and creating policies that prioritize the preservation of critical ecosystems.

By adopting smart growth strategies, cities can focus on densifying existing urban areas, improving infrastructure, and utilizing non-ecologically sensitive land for development. This approach would reduce the need to expand into wetland areas while still addressing housing demands. Moreover, building affordable and eco-friendly housing that considers the social impacts on local communities can help prevent displacement and promote social equity.

The findings underscore the critical importance of strengthening wetland conservation policies to prevent further degradation of these valuable ecosystems. Governments and urban planners must place greater emphasis on enforcing wetland protection laws and ensuring that development projects comply with environmental regulations. Enhanced land-use planning and environmental impact assessments should be mandatory before any reclamation activity is approved, ensuring that the ecological value of wetlands is fully considered.

Additionally, the research points to the need for restoration efforts in areas where wetlands have already been compromised. By rehabilitating damaged wetlands, cities can restore their natural functions, including flood protection, water filtration, and habitat provision. Partnerships between governments, environmental organizations, and local communities will be crucial in fostering long-term, community-driven conservation efforts that prioritize sustainable use and management of wetland resources.

The challenge moving forward will be to find a balance between urban expansion and wetland preservation. The research suggests that housing development and wetland conservation are not mutually exclusive, but rather require integrated, holistic planning. By aligning urban growth with sustainable land-use policies, cities can protect critical ecosystems while addressing housing needs. Future efforts should focus on creating incentives for developers to adopt environmentally friendly practices and integrating wetland protection into broader climate resilience strategies.

3.3 Comparison of Research Results with Previous Research on Wetland Reclamation for Housing Development

The findings from this research on wetland reclamation for housing development both align with and diverge from previous studies in the field, highlighting a complex and evolving understanding of the implications of converting wetlands into urban land. By comparing these

results with earlier research, we can gain a clearer perspective on the ongoing discourse surrounding wetland reclamation, its consequences, and the lessons learned.

Previous research has consistently pointed to the economic benefits of wetland reclamation, identifying it as a viable solution to housing shortages, particularly in densely populated urban areas. Many studies have documented how the conversion of wetlands can stimulate local economies by attracting investment, increasing property values, and generating revenue through new housing developments. This research supports these earlier findings, reinforcing the idea that wetland reclamation can provide essential land for housing and economic growth. However, it also expands on this perspective by emphasizing the need for sustainable practices that balance economic gains with long-term ecological integrity. This nuanced view contrasts with earlier studies that may have been more focused on the immediate economic advantages without fully addressing the potential negative consequences.

While previous research has acknowledged the environmental risks associated with wetland reclamation, this study provides a more comprehensive analysis of the specific ecological consequences. Earlier studies often highlighted general concerns about biodiversity loss and habitat destruction but lacked in-depth empirical evidence of how these changes manifest in local ecosystems. The current research goes further by documenting measurable impacts, such as increased flooding risks, water quality degradation, and the loss of critical ecosystem services provided by wetlands. This deeper investigation into the ecological ramifications underscores the urgency for conservation efforts and highlights the shortcomings of earlier research that may have underestimated the long-term consequences of wetland loss.

When examining the social implications of wetland reclamation, this research corroborates findings from previous studies that highlight the displacement of local communities and the exacerbation of social inequalities. Earlier literature has pointed out how vulnerable populations, including indigenous groups and low-income communities, are often disproportionately affected by reclamation projects. This research adds further depth to this discourse by emphasizing the need for inclusive planning and community engagement in decision-making processes. It reveals the lack of attention given to the voices of those most impacted by wetland conversion in past studies, calling for a more comprehensive approach that prioritizes social equity in future reclamation efforts.

The findings from this research regarding legal and policy frameworks align with previous critiques of existing regulations governing wetland reclamation. Earlier studies have often highlighted the weaknesses in enforcement and the prioritization of economic development over environmental protection. This research reinforces these observations and provides a call to action for stronger regulatory measures and better enforcement of existing laws. However, it also emphasizes the need for innovative policy solutions that integrate environmental, economic, and social considerations. This holistic approach is a step forward compared to earlier research that may have focused primarily on regulatory failures without proposing actionable strategies for improvement.

Finally, the current research advocates for integrated approaches that reconcile housing development with wetland conservation. While previous studies have suggested the need for sustainable practices, this research builds on that foundation by presenting concrete examples of how urban planners can incorporate green infrastructure and nature-based solutions into development strategies. This focus on practical applications marks a shift from earlier research that often remained theoretical, providing a framework for implementing sustainable reclamation practices in real-world contexts.

Conclusion

The research on wetland reclamation for housing development underscores the intricate balance that must be maintained between urban growth and ecological preservation. While wetland reclamation offers viable solutions to address urgent housing needs in rapidly urbanizing areas, the findings highlight the significant environmental, social, and economic repercussions that can arise from this practice. This study has revealed that the loss of wetlands not only leads to biodiversity decline and ecological degradation but also exacerbates flood risks and diminishes water quality. Furthermore, the social implications, particularly for local communities reliant on wetlands for their livelihoods, are profound, often resulting in displacement and increased social inequality. Economically, while reclamation can stimulate local growth and provide immediate housing solutions, the long-term costs associated with environmental degradation can outweigh these benefits, placing additional burdens on communities and public resources. To navigate these challenges effectively, it is essential to adopt a more integrated and sustainable approach to land-use planning. This includes enforcing stricter regulations that prioritize the conservation of wetland ecosystems, engaging local communities in decision-making processes, and promoting innovative development strategies that incorporate green infrastructure. By recognizing the intrinsic value of wetlands and implementing policies that balance development needs with environmental conservation, we can work towards creating urban spaces that are resilient, equitable, and sustainable.

References

Assessment, M. E. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: wetlands and water*. World Resources Institute.

Barbier, E. B. (2011). Wetlands as natural assets. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, *56*(8), 1360–1373.

Cernea, M. M. (2000). Risks, safeguards and reconstruction: A model for population displacement and resettlement. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 3659–3678.

Clare, S., Krogman, N., Foote, L., & Lemphers, N. (2011). Where is the avoidance in the implementation of wetland law and policy? *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, *19*, 165–182.

Dayana, M. K., & Varkey, A. M. (2015). *Land use controls with special reference to wetlands*. Cochin University of Science and Technology.

Elmqvist, T., Maltby, E., Barker, T., Mortimer, M., Perrings, C., Aronson, J., De Groot, R., Fitter, A., Mace, G., & Norberg, J. (2012). Biodiversity, ecosystems and ecosystem services. In *The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Ecological and economic foundations* (pp. 41–111). Routledge.

Erwin, K. L. (2009). Wetlands and global climate change: the role of wetland restoration in a changing world. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, *17*(1), 71–84.

Gardner, R. C. (2002). Rehabilitating nature: a comparative review of legal mechanisms that encourage wetland restoration efforts. *Cath. UL Rev.*, *52*, 573.

Griffin, P. J., & Ali, S. H. (2014). Managing transboundary wetlands: The Ramsar Convention as a means of ecological diplomacy. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, *4*, 230–239.

Islam, M. R., & Khan, N. A. (2020). Threats, vulnerability, resilience and displacement among the climate change and natural disaster-affected people in South-East Asia: an overview. *Climate Change Mitigation and Sustainable Development*, 111–138.

Kim, S. G. (2010). The evolution of coastal wetland policy in developed countries and Korea. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *53*(9), 562–569.

Kingsford, R. T., Basset, A., & Jackson, L. (2016). Wetlands: conservation's poor cousins. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, *26*(5), 892–916.

Li, D., Zhang, J., Wang, G., Wang, X., & Wu, J. (2020). Impact of changes in water management on hydrology and environment: A case study in North China. *Journal of Hydro-Environment Research*, *28*, 75–84.

Mengesha, T. A. (2017). Review on the natural conditions and anthropogenic threats of Wetlands in Ethiopian. *Global Journal of Ecology*, *2*(1), 6–14.

Meyer, S. M. (2006). *The end of the wild*. Mit Press.

Musterd, S., & Ostendorf, W. (2013). Segregation, polarisation and social exclusion in metropolitan areas. In *Urban segregation and the welfare state* (pp. 1–14). Routledge.

Shi, L. (2020). Beyond flood risk reduction: How can green infrastructure advance both social justice and regional impact? *Socio-Ecological Practice Research*, *2*(4), 311–320.

Silvius, M. J., Oneka, M., & Verhagen, A. (2000). Wetlands: lifeline for people at the edge. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B: Hydrology, Oceans and Atmosphere*, *25*(7–8), 645–652.

Tian, B., Wu, W., Yang, Z., & Zhou, Y. (2016). Drivers, trends, and potential impacts of long-term coastal reclamation in China from 1985 to 2010. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *170*, 83–90.

Van Zyl, H. W. (2007). *Property price approaches to the valuation of urban wetlands: theoretical considerations and policy implications*. University of Cape Town.

Verhoeven, J. T. A., Arheimer, B., Yin, C., & Hefting, M. M. (2006). Regional and global concerns over wetlands and water quality. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *21*(2), 96–103.

Wu, W., Yang, Z., Tian, B., Huang, Y., Zhou, Y., & Zhang, T. (2018). Impacts of coastal reclamation on wetlands: Loss, resilience, and sustainable management. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *210*, 153–161.

Xu, T., Weng, B., Yan, D., Wang, K., Li, X., Bi, W., Li, M., Cheng, X., & Liu, Y. (2019). Wetlands of international importance: Status, threats, and future protection. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *16*(10), 1818.

Yang, W., Jin, Y., Sun, T., Yang, Z., Cai, Y., & Yi, Y. (2018). Trade-offs among ecosystem services in coastal wetlands under the effects of reclamation activities. *Ecological Indicators*, *92*, 354–366.