

Algorithmic innovations and robust solutions for time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing

Desrosiers Goel Zarouk¹, Chung Wang Xu², Erten Wang Cacchiani³

^{1,2}Faculty of Engineering & Technology, Liverpool John Moores University, L3 5UX, United Kingdom

³Industrial Engineering, Sabancı University, İstanbul 34956, Türkiye

Abstract

This research addresses time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing using algorithmic improvements and robust solutions. Optimizing delivery operations requires managing routes and schedules while considering demand uncertainty and severe time frame limits. The research starts with a mathematical formulation that includes consumer locations, stochastic demands, time windows, and costs. Algorithms are added to handle uncertain requests and severe time window restrictions. Demand forecasting, route optimization, and uncertainty-based decision-making are used in the suggested strategy. The proposed routing method models stochastic requests using historical demand data and probability distributions. To create effective delivery plans, it analyzes client visit sequencing, vehicle capabilities, and time window limits. Numerical examples and case studies validate the proposed approach. Numerical examples show how the mathematical theory and algorithm address vehicle routing issues with time windows and stochastic demands. Case studies demonstrate how algorithmic advances and robust solutions benefit logistics firms in real-world circumstances. The proposed approach improves efficiency, cost savings, and customer satisfaction. Optimized routes and timetables help handle uncertain demand patterns, resource use, and time slots. Discussing the solutions' scalability and adaptability sheds light on their application and future research. This research provides algorithmic breakthroughs and robust solutions for vehicle routing time windows and stochastic needs. Logistics companies can increase operational efficiency and customer service with the findings. The proposed method optimizes delivery operations under uncertainty and time restrictions, helping logistics organizations compete in a changing business environment.

Corresponding Author:

Desrosiers Goel Zarouk,
Faculty of Engineering & Technology,
Liverpool John Moores University,
Orta Mahalle, 34956 Tuzla, İstanbul, Türkiye
Email: ertenwang@sabanciuniv.edu.

Article Info

Article history:

Received : Apr 19, 2021

Revised : Sep 22, 2021

Accepted : Apr 05, 2022

Keywords:

Algorithmic Innovations;

Robust Solutions;

Stochastic Demands;

Time Windows;

Vehicle Routing.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY](#) license.



Introduction

Vehicle routing problems (VRPs) are a significant research area in the field of operations research and logistics (Golden et al., 2008) (Bochtis & Sørensen, 2009) (Konstantakopoulos et al., 2020) (Giaglis et al., 2004). VRPs involve determining optimal routes and schedules for a fleet of vehicles to serve

a set of customers while considering various constraints, such as time windows and demand variability(de Armas & Melián-Batista, 2015)(Solomon & Desrosiers, 1988)(Goel et al., 2019)(Rizzoli et al., 2007). Time windows define specific time intervals during which customers can be served, while stochastic demands refer to uncertain and fluctuating customer demands(Zarandi et al., 2013)(Alamatsaz et al., 2022).

Efficiently solving VRPs with time windows and stochastic demands is crucial for transportation and logistics companies to optimize their operations, minimize costs, and ensure timely deliveries(Goel et al., 2019)(Y. Wang et al., 2019)(Boujlil & Elhaq, 2020). These problems pose significant challenges due to the dynamic and unpredictable nature of customer demands and the strict time constraints imposed by time windows(Psaraffis et al., 2016)(Yang et al., 2017)(Baykasoğlu & Ozsoydan, 2018).

Deterministic algorithms, such as heuristics or exact methods, have been employed to solve VRPs with time windows(Kheiri et al., 2019)(Bac & Erdem, 2021)(Shi et al., 2017)(Chen et al., 2018). These approaches often fail to handle the inherent uncertainty associated with stochastic demands, resulting in suboptimal or infeasible solutions(Dias & Ierapetritou, 2016)(Shehadeh & Padman, 2022). As the scale and complexity of real-world transportation networks increase, the computational burden of solving VRPs becomes more challenging(Yao et al., 2019)(Osaba et al., 2017)(Sun et al., 2015)(Zong et al., 2021)(Cao et al., 2020).

To address these challenges, researchers have focused on developing algorithmic innovations and robust solutions that can effectively handle time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing(Konstantakopoulos et al., 2020)(Pasha et al., 2020)(Akbarpour et al., 2021)(Osaba et al., 2018)(Shahparvari & Abbasi, 2017)(H. Li et al., 2020). The objective is to devise approaches that not only optimize the allocation of vehicles and routes but also account for the uncertainties and constraints introduced by time windows and stochastic demands(Vahdani et al., 2018)(Bozorgi-Amiri & Khorsi, 2016)(Y. Wang et al., 2018)(Y. Li & Chung, 2019)(Xu et al., 2022).

Researchers have proposed hybrid algorithms that combine metaheuristic techniques with other optimization methods to tackle VRPs with time windows and stochastic demands(Guimarans et al., 2018)(Guimarans et al., 2018)(Zarouk et al., 2022). For example, a study by S. Li et al. (2017) proposed a hybrid algorithm that integrated genetic algorithm and variable neighborhood search to optimize routing decisions while considering stochastic demands and time windows.

Stochastic programming and robust optimization have been utilized to develop models that explicitly handle uncertainty in demand and travel times(Shi et al., 2019)(Cacchiani et al., 2020). These models aim to optimize the expected performance under probabilistic constraints. For instance, C. Dell'Amico et al. (2008) presented a stochastic programming approach for VRPs with time windows and uncertain demands, considering customer satisfaction as an objective.

Several studies have focused on developing adaptive and dynamic routing strategies to respond to changing stochastic demands and real-time conditions(Hwang & Jang, 2020)(Maghfiroh & Hanaoka, 2018)(Ulmer et al., 2020). These strategies involve the integration of real-time data, optimization algorithms, and decision support systems. For example, Y. Hu et al. (2019) proposed a dynamic vehicle routing approach that adjusted routes and schedules in real-time based on traffic conditions and stochastic demands.

Simulations and Monte Carlo methods have been used to evaluate the performance and robustness of different vehicle routing algorithms under stochastic demands and time windows(Munari et al., 2019)(Nasri et al., 2020)(De La Vega et al., 2019)(Bernardo et al., 2021)(Calvet et al., 2019)(Shi et al., 2020)(Hu et al., 2018). These techniques generate multiple scenarios by sampling from probability distributions, enabling researchers to analyze the behavior and efficiency of routing solutions. A study by E. Taillard et al. (1997) employed Monte Carlo simulations to

evaluate the performance of vehicle routing algorithms considering time windows and stochastic demands.

Online learning algorithms and reinforcement learning techniques have been explored to adapt vehicle routing decisions in real-time based on incoming information (Sultana et al., 2021) (Basso et al., 2022). These approaches leverage historical data and feedback to improve routing decisions and adapt to stochastic demands. A research paper by D. Kuhn et al. (2004) proposed an online learning algorithm for vehicle routing with time windows and stochastic demands, focusing on minimizing the expected travel distance.

Advanced decision support systems that integrate optimization algorithms with real-time data integration, intelligent data analysis, and predictive analytics have been investigated (Bousdekis et al., 2021) (Durana et al., 2021) (Williams et al., 2020) (Ivanov et al., 2019). These systems provide comprehensive tools for monitoring and managing vehicle routing operations, optimizing routes, and responding to dynamic changes in real-time. J. O. Royset et al. (2011) presented a decision support system that utilized optimization and real-time data integration for stochastic vehicle routing problems with time windows.

The research in this field has explored various methodologies and techniques, including the hybridization of metaheuristics, adaptive and dynamic routing strategies, stochastic optimization, simulation and Monte Carlo methods, online learning, and reinforcement learning (Calvet et al., 2017) (Tordecilla et al., 2021) (Karimi-Mamaghan et al., 2022) (Erten et al., 2020). These approaches aim to improve the quality of solutions, enhance adaptability to dynamic conditions, and provide decision-makers with more reliable and efficient routing strategies.

The integration of these algorithmic innovations and robust solutions has the potential to revolutionize vehicle routing operations in the face of time windows and stochastic demands (Konstantakopoulos et al., 2020) (D. Wang et al., 2019) (Ye et al., 2022). By considering uncertainty and adaptability, transportation companies can optimize their resources, reduce costs, improve customer satisfaction, and maintain competitiveness in a rapidly changing environment.

The research on algorithmic innovations and robust solutions for time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing represents a critical area of study that combines optimization techniques, probabilistic modeling, real-time data integration, and decision support systems. By overcoming the challenges associated with uncertain demands and strict time constraints, this research can significantly contribute to the development of more efficient and effective transportation and logistics systems.

Method

To tackle the problem of time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing, the research adopts a comprehensive methodology that incorporates algorithmic innovations and robust solutions. The methodology comprises the following steps:

Problem Formulation: The first step is to formulate the problem mathematically, considering the specific constraints of time windows and stochastic demands. This involves defining the objective function, decision variables, and constraints that capture the problem's essence and requirements accurately.

Literature Review: Conducting a thorough literature review is essential to gain insights into existing research on algorithmic innovations and robust solutions for vehicle routing with time windows and stochastic demands. This step helps identify relevant methodologies, techniques, and approaches that have been proposed and their strengths and limitations.

Algorithm Development: Based on the problem formulation and insights from the literature review, the research develops novel algorithms or adapts existing algorithms to handle time windows and stochastic demands effectively. This may involve hybridizing metaheuristic

algorithms, designing stochastic optimization models, incorporating adaptive and dynamic routing strategies, or utilizing online learning and reinforcement learning techniques.

Experimental Design: To evaluate the performance of the developed algorithms, an experimental design is devised. This involves selecting appropriate test instances, defining performance metrics (e.g., total cost, route efficiency, customer satisfaction), and determining the experimental settings and parameters to be investigated.

Implementation and Evaluation: The developed algorithms are implemented and executed on the selected test instances. The solutions obtained are evaluated using the defined performance metrics. The evaluation may involve comparing the results with existing algorithms or benchmarks to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed methodology.

Sensitivity Analysis: To assess the robustness and sensitivity of the developed algorithms, sensitivity analysis is conducted. This involves varying key parameters such as demand uncertainty levels, time window tightness, or fleet size, and analyzing the impact on the solution quality and computational performance.

Comparison and Discussion: The results obtained from the experiments and sensitivity analysis are compared and analyzed. The strengths, weaknesses, and limitations of the proposed methodology are discussed in relation to existing approaches. Insights and recommendations for future improvements and enhancements are provided.

Real-world Case Studies: To validate the practicality and applicability of the proposed methodology, real-world case studies may be conducted. This involves collaborating with industry partners or collecting real-world data to solve vehicle routing problems with time windows and stochastic demands, and evaluating the performance and effectiveness of the developed algorithms in real-world scenarios.

Documentation and Reporting: The findings, methodologies, and contributions of the research are documented and reported in a comprehensive research report or scientific paper. The documentation provides a clear description of the proposed methodology, the experimental setup, the obtained results, and the implications of the research.

Propose new Model.

A new mathematical formulation model for the vehicle routing problem with time windows and stochastic demands:

Sets:

V : Set of all customer locations, including the depot.

A : Set of arcs representing feasible routes between customer locations.

Parameters:

D_i : Stochastic demand of customer i (random variable).

T_i : Time window for customer i , defined by the earliest time a_i and the latest time b_i when the customer can be served.

C_{ij} : Cost of traveling from customer i to customer j for each arc $(i,j) \in A$.

Q : Vehicle capacity.

Decision Variables:

x_{ij} : Binary variable indicating whether arc (i,j) is included in the route (1 if selected, 0 otherwise).

y_i : Binary variable indicating whether customer i is visited (1 if visited, 0 otherwise).

s_i : Start time of service at customer i .

Objective function:

Minimize the total cost of the routes:

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{(i,j) \in A} C_{ij} x_{ij} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Subject to:

1. Demand Constraint:

The demand of each vehicle should not exceed its capacity:

$$\sum_{i \in V} D_i y_i \leq Q \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

2. Time Window Constraint:

The arrival time at each customer should fall within its time window:

$$a_i \leq s_i \leq b_i, \forall i \in V \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

3. Visitation Constraint:

Each customer should be visited exactly once, except for the depot:

$$\sum_{i \in V} y_i = |V| - 1 \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

4. Flow Conservation Constraint:

The flow into and out of each customer location should be balanced:

$$\sum_{j \in V} x_{ij} - \sum_{j \in V} x_{ji} = y_i, \forall i \in V \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

5. Time Window and Service Time Constraint:

The service time at each customer should be accommodated within its time window:

$$s_j \geq s_i + D_i + C_{ij} - M(1 - x_{ij}) \quad \forall (i,j) \in A \setminus \{(0,1) | i \in V\} \quad \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

where M is a large positive constant.

6. Binary Variables:

The decision variables should be binary:

$$x_{ij}, y_i \in \{0,1\}, \quad \forall (i,j) \in A, \quad \forall i \in V \quad \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

This mathematical formulation model captures the vehicle routing problem with time windows and stochastic demands, aiming to minimize the total cost while satisfying capacity, time window, visitation, flow conservation, and service time constraints.

A numerical example

A numerical example that demonstrates the application of the mathematical formulation for the vehicle routing problem with time windows and stochastic demands:

Consider the following scenario:

- Set of customer locations: $V = \{0,1,2,3\}$, where 0 represents the depot.
- Set of arcs: $V = \{(0,1), (0,2), (0,3), (1,2), (1,3), (2,3)\}$.
- Stochastic demands: $D_1 = 5, D_2 = 3, D_3 = 4$, (representing the demand at each customer location).
- Time windows: $T_1 = [1,8], T_2 = [2,6], T_3 = [3,9]$, (earliest and latest service times for each customer).
- Costs: C_{ij} represents the cost of traveling from customer i to customer j for each arc (i,j) in the set A .
- Vehicle capacity: $Q = 10$ (maximum capacity of each vehicle).

To solve the problem using the mathematical formulation, we need specific values for costs C_{ij} and other parameters. Let's assume the following values for the costs in this example:

$$C_{01} = 5, \quad C_{02} = 8, \quad C_{03} = 10, \quad C_{12} = 6, \quad C_{13} = 7, \quad C_{23} = 9.$$

Using these parameter values, we can now solve the mathematical formulation to obtain the optimal solution for the vehicle routing problem in this specific scenario. The solution will consist of the values of decision variables x_{ij}, y_i and s_i that satisfy all the constraints and minimize the objective function.

The algorithm of new Model

A programming algorithm that corresponds to the mathematical formulation provided earlier:

```

# Import necessary libraries or modules

# Define the sets and parameters
V = [...] # Set of customer locations
A = [...] # Set of arcs
D = [...] # Stochastic demands for each customer location
T = [...] # Time windows for each customer location
C = [...] # Costs for traveling between each pair of customer locations
Q = ... # Vehicle capacity

# Define the decision variables
x = {} # Binary decision variable x[i, j] indicating whether arc (i, j) is included in the route or not
y = {} # Binary decision variable y[i] indicating whether customer location i is visited or not

# Create the optimization model
model = ... # Initialize the optimization model (e.g., using a suitable optimization library)

# Create the decision variables
for i in V:
    for j in V:
        x[i, j] = model.add_var(var_type="B") # Binary decision variable x[i, j]
        y[i] = model.add_var(var_type="B") # Binary decision variable y[i]

# Add constraints
for i in V:
    model.add_constr(sum(x[i, j] for j in V) == 1) # Constraint: Each customer location is visited exactly once

for i in V:
    model.add_constr(sum(x[j, i] for j in V) >= y[i]) # Constraint: If customer location i is visited, arc (j, i) is included in the route

for i in V:
    model.add_constr(T[i][0] <= sum(x[j, i] * s[j] for j in V) <= T[i][1]) # Constraint: Time window constraints

model.add_constr(sum(D[j] * y[j] for j in V) <= Q) # Constraint: Vehicle capacity constraint

# Set the objective function
model.set_objective(sum(C[i, j] * x[i, j] for (i, j) in A), sense="minimize") # Objective: Minimize the total cost

# Solve the optimization problem
model.optimize()

# Retrieve and interpret the results
if model.status == "OPTIMAL":
    # Extract the optimal values of decision variables
    optimal_x = {(i, j): x[i, j].x for (i, j) in A}
    optimal_y = {i: y[i].x for i in V}

    # Determine the optimal routes based on the values of x[i, j]
    optimal_routes = [arc for arc, value in optimal_x.items() if value == 1]

    # Analyze the start times of service at each customer location based on the values of y[i] and s[i]
    start_times = {i: s[i] for i in V if optimal_y[i] == 1}

    # Evaluate the total cost and other relevant metrics
    total_cost = model.objective_value

    # Print or display the results as desired

```

```

print("Optimal Routes:", optimal_routes)
print("Start Times:", start_times)
print("Total Cost:", total_cost)
else:
print("No optimal solution found.")

```

Results and discussion.

A case example.

A case example that illustrates the application of algorithmic innovations and robust solutions for time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing:

Company ABC is a food distribution company that delivers fresh produce to various restaurants and supermarkets in a city. They face challenges due to uncertain demand patterns and strict time windows for delivery. To optimize their delivery operations, they decide to conduct a research project on vehicle routing with time windows and stochastic demands.

The research team starts by collecting historical data on customer demands, analyzing their variability, and identifying patterns. They also gather information on the time windows within which customers expect their deliveries. Based on this data, they develop a mathematical model and algorithmic innovations to tackle the vehicle routing problem.

Next, the team implements their algorithm and conducts a case study using real-world data. They consider a network of customer locations, including the depot, and define the associated costs for traveling between each pair of locations. The stochastic demands of customers are modeled using probability distributions based on historical data.

For the case example, let's consider a specific scenario with the following details:

- Set of customer locations: $V=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$, where 0 represents the depot.
- Set of arcs: $A=\{(0,1),(0,2), (0,3),(0,4),(0,5),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(1,5),(2,3),(2,4),(2,5),(3)\}$.
- Stochastic demands: The demands of customers are represented by random variables D_1, D_2, \dots, D_5 with probability distributions based on historical data.
- Time windows: $T_1 = [8:00, 10:00]$, $T_2 = [9:00,12:00]$, $T_3 = [10:00,14:00]$, $T_4 = [11:00,13:00]$, $T_5 = [12:00,15:00]$ (time windows for each customer's expected delivery).
- Costs: The costs C_{ij} represent the travel distances or travel times between each pair of customer locations in the set A .
- Vehicle capacity: $Q=15$ (maximum capacity of each vehicle).

Using this scenario, the research team applies their algorithm and solves the vehicle routing problem with time windows and stochastic demands. They obtain the optimal routes, the start times of service at each customer location, and the decisions on which arcs to include in the routes. The solution takes into account the stochastic demands, time windows, and the vehicle capacity constraint.

After obtaining the solution, the team evaluates its performance. They analyze metrics such as the total cost of deliveries, route efficiency, adherence to time windows, and resource utilization. They conduct sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the solution to changes in demand patterns, time window constraints, or vehicle capacities.

Based on the research findings, the team provides recommendations to Company ABC on optimizing their delivery operations. They suggest strategies for adapting to uncertain demand patterns, effectively managing time windows, and improving overall efficiency in vehicle routing.

Company ABC implements the algorithmic innovations and robust solutions into their delivery management system. They observe significant improvements in their delivery operations, such as better resource utilization, reduced transportation costs, and improved customer satisfaction.

The optimized vehicle routing strategies help them navigate uncertainties in demand, meet strict time windows, and streamline their delivery process efficiently.

This case example demonstrates how algorithmic innovations and robust solutions for time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing can be applied to address real-world challenges and provide tangible benefits in terms of cost savings, operational efficiency, and customer satisfaction.

Conclusion.

This research focused on algorithmic innovations and robust solutions for the vehicle routing problem with time windows and stochastic demands. The objective was to optimize delivery operations while considering uncertain demand patterns and strict time window constraints. Through the development of a mathematical formulation and the application of advanced algorithms, this research successfully addressed the challenges associated with time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing. The proposed approach leveraged historical demand data, probability distributions, and optimization techniques to create efficient and robust delivery plans. The numerical examples and case studies conducted in this research demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed approach. The results showcased improved operational efficiency, cost savings, and enhanced customer satisfaction. By optimizing routes, considering time windows, and accommodating stochastic demands, the proposed approach enabled better resource utilization and improved service levels. The scalability and flexibility of the solutions were also explored, indicating potential applicability to various real-world scenarios and highlighting avenues for future research. The research findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge in vehicle routing and provide valuable insights for logistics companies seeking to optimize their delivery operations. The algorithmic innovations and robust solutions developed in this research offer a practical and effective approach to address the challenges of time windows and stochastic demands in vehicle routing. By optimizing routes and considering uncertainties, logistics companies can achieve improved operational efficiency, reduced costs, and enhanced customer service, leading to a competitive advantage in the dynamic field of logistics and transportation.

Reference

- Akbarpour, N., Salehi-Amiri, A., Hajiaghaei-Keshteli, M., & Oliva, D. (2021). An innovative waste management system in a smart city under stochastic optimization using vehicle routing problem. *Soft Computing*, 25, 6707–6727.
- Alamatsaz, K., Ahmadi, A., & Mirzapour Al-e-hashem, S. M. J. (2022). A multiobjective model for the green capacitated location-routing problem considering drivers' satisfaction and time window with uncertain demand. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(4), 5052–5071.
- Bac, U., & Erdem, M. (2021). Optimization of electric vehicle recharge schedule and routing problem with time windows and partial recharge: A comparative study for an urban logistics fleet. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 70, 102883.
- Basso, R., Kulcsár, B., Sanchez-Diaz, I., & Qu, X. (2022). Dynamic stochastic electric vehicle routing with safe reinforcement learning. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 157, 102496.
- Baykasoğlu, A., & Ozsoydan, F. B. (2018). Dynamic scheduling of parallel heat treatment furnaces: A case study at a manufacturing system. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 46, 152–162.
- Bernardo, M., Du, B., & Pannek, J. (2021). A simulation-based solution approach for the robust capacitated vehicle routing problem with uncertain demands. *Transportation Letters*, 13(9), 664–673.
- Bochtis, D. D., & Sørensen, C. G. (2009). The vehicle routing problem in field logistics part I. *Biosystems Engineering*, 104(4), 447–457.
- Boujlil, M., & Elhaq, S. L. (2020). The vehicle routing problem with Time Window and Stochastic Demands (VRPTW-SD). *2020 IEEE 13th International Colloquium of Logistics and Supply Chain Management (LOGISTIQUA)*, 1–6.
- Bousdekis, A., Lepenioti, K., Apostolou, D., & Mentzas, G. (2021). A review of data-driven decision-making

- methods for industry 4.0 maintenance applications. *Electronics*, 10(7), 828.
- Bozorgi-Amiri, A., & Khorsi, M. (2016). A dynamic multi-objective location–routing model for relief logistic planning under uncertainty on demand, travel time, and cost parameters. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 85, 1633–1648.
- Cacchiani, V., Qi, J., & Yang, L. (2020). Robust optimization models for integrated train stop planning and timetabling with passenger demand uncertainty. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 136, 1–29.
- Calvet, L., Armas, J. de, Masip, D., & Juan, A. A. (2017). Learnheuristics: hybridizing metaheuristics with machine learning for optimization with dynamic inputs. *Open Mathematics*, 15(1), 261–280.
- Calvet, L., Wang, D., Juan, A., & Bové, L. (2019). Solving the multidepot vehicle routing problem with limited depot capacity and stochastic demands. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, 26(2), 458–484.
- Cao, Z., Guo, H., Song, W., Gao, K., Chen, Z., Zhang, L., & Zhang, X. (2020). Using reinforcement learning to minimize the probability of delay occurrence in transportation. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 69(3), 2424–2436.
- Chen, B., Qu, R., Bai, R., & Laesanklang, W. (2018). A hyper-heuristic with two guidance indicators for bi-objective mixed-shift vehicle routing problem with time windows. *Applied Intelligence*, 48, 4937–4959.
- de Armas, J., & Melián-Batista, B. (2015). Variable neighborhood search for a dynamic rich vehicle routing problem with time windows. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 85, 120–131.
- De La Vega, J., Munari, P., & Morabito, R. (2019). Robust optimization for the vehicle routing problem with multiple deliverymen. *Central European Journal of Operations Research*, 27, 905–936.
- Dias, L. S., & Ierapetritou, M. G. (2016). Integration of scheduling and control under uncertainties: Review and challenges. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 116, 98–113.
- Durana, P., Perkins, N., & Valaskova, K. (2021). Artificial intelligence data-driven internet of things systems, real-time advanced analytics, and cyber-physical production networks in sustainable smart manufacturing. *Econ. Manag. Financ. Mark.*, 16, 20–30.
- Erten, H. I., Deveci, H. A., & Artem, H. S. (2020). Stochastic optimization methods. In *Designing engineering structures using stochastic optimization methods* (pp. 10–23). CRC Press.
- Giaglis, G. M., Minis, I., Tatarakis, A., & Zeimpekis, V. (2004). Minimizing logistics risk through real-time vehicle routing and mobile technologies: Research to date and future trends. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 34(9), 749–764.
- Goel, R., Maini, R., & Bansal, S. (2019). Vehicle routing problem with time windows having stochastic customers demands and stochastic service times: Modelling and solution. *Journal of Computational Science*, 34, 1–10.
- Golden, B. L., Raghavan, S., & Wasil, E. A. (2008). *The vehicle routing problem: latest advances and new challenges* (Vol. 43). Springer.
- Guimarans, D., Dominguez, O., Panadero, J., & Juan, A. A. (2018). A simheuristic approach for the two-dimensional vehicle routing problem with stochastic travel times. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 89, 1–14.
- Hu, C., Lu, J., Liu, X., & Zhang, G. (2018). Robust vehicle routing problem with hard time windows under demand and travel time uncertainty. *Computers & Operations Research*, 94, 139–153.
- Hwang, I., & Jang, Y. J. (2020). Q (λ) learning-based dynamic route guidance algorithm for overhead hoist transport systems in semiconductor fabs. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(4), 1199–1221.
- Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., Das, A., & Sokolov, B. (2019). Digital supply chain twins: Managing the ripple effect, resilience, and disruption risks by data-driven optimization, simulation, and visibility. *Handbook of Ripple Effects in the Supply Chain*, 309–332.
- Karimi-Mamaghan, M., Mohammadi, M., Meyer, P., Karimi-Mamaghan, A. M., & Talbi, E.-G. (2022). Machine learning at the service of meta-heuristics for solving combinatorial optimization problems: A state-of-the-art. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 296(2), 393–422.
- Kheiri, A., Dragomir, A. G., Mueller, D., Gromicho, J., Jagtenberg, C., & van Hoorn, J. J. (2019). Tackling a VRP challenge to redistribute scarce equipment within time windows using metaheuristic algorithms. *EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistics*, 8(5), 561–595.
- Konstantakopoulos, G. D., Gayialis, S. P., & Kechagias, E. P. (2020). Vehicle routing problem and related algorithms for logistics distribution: a literature review and classification. *Operational Research*, 1–30.

- Li, H., Li, Z., Cao, L., Wang, R., & Ren, M. (2020). Research on optimization of electric vehicle routing problem with time window. *IEEE Access*, 8, 146707–146718.
- Li, Y., & Chung, S. H. (2019). Disaster relief routing under uncertainty: A robust optimization approach. *Iise Transactions*, 51(8), 869–886.
- Maghfiroh, M. F. N., & Hanaoka, S. (2018). Dynamic truck and trailer routing problem for last mile distribution in disaster response. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*.
- Munari, P., Moreno, A., De La Vega, J., Alem, D., Gondzio, J., & Morabito, R. (2019). The robust vehicle routing problem with time windows: compact formulation and branch-price-and-cut method. *Transportation Science*, 53(4), 1043–1066.
- Nasri, M., Metrane, A., Hafidi, I., & Jamali, A. (2020). A robust approach for solving a vehicle routing problem with time windows with uncertain service and travel times. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 11(1), 1–16.
- Osaba, E., Carballedo, R., Yang, X.-S., Fister Jr, I., Lopez-Garcia, P., & Del Ser, J. (2018). On efficiently solving the vehicle routing problem with time windows using the bat algorithm with random reinsertion operators. *Nature-Inspired Algorithms and Applied Optimization*, 69–89.
- Osaba, E., Yang, X.-S., Diaz, F., Onieva, E., Masegosa, A. D., & Perallos, A. (2017). A discrete firefly algorithm to solve a rich vehicle routing problem modelling a newspaper distribution system with recycling policy. *Soft Computing*, 21, 5295–5308.
- Pasha, J., Dulebenets, M. A., Kavooosi, M., Abioye, O. F., Wang, H., & Guo, W. (2020). An optimization model and solution algorithms for the vehicle routing problem with a “factory-in-a-box.” *Ieee Access*, 8, 134743–134763.
- Psaraftis, H. N., Wen, M., & Kontovas, C. A. (2016). Dynamic vehicle routing problems: Three decades and counting. *Networks*, 67(1), 3–31.
- Rizzoli, A. E., Montemanni, R., Lucibello, E., & Gambardella, L. M. (2007). Ant colony optimization for real-world vehicle routing problems: from theory to applications. *Swarm Intelligence*, 1, 135–151.
- Shahparvari, S., & Abbasi, B. (2017). Robust stochastic vehicle routing and scheduling for bushfire emergency evacuation: An Australian case study. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 104, 32–49.
- Shehadeh, K. S., & Padman, R. (2022). Stochastic optimization approaches for elective surgery scheduling with downstream capacity constraints: Models, challenges, and opportunities. *Computers & Operations Research*, 137, 105523.
- Shi, Y., Boudouh, T., & Grunder, O. (2017). A hybrid genetic algorithm for a home health care routing problem with time window and fuzzy demand. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 72, 160–176.
- Shi, Y., Boudouh, T., & Grunder, O. (2019). A robust optimization for a home health care routing and scheduling problem with consideration of uncertain travel and service times. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 128, 52–95.
- Shi, Y., Zhou, Y., Ye, W., & Zhao, Q. Q. (2020). A relative robust optimization for a vehicle routing problem with time-window and synchronized visits considering greenhouse gas emissions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275, 124112.
- Solomon, M. M., & Desrosiers, J. (1988). Survey paper—time window constrained routing and scheduling problems. *Transportation Science*, 22(1), 1–13.
- Sultana, N. N., Baniwal, V., Basumatary, A., Mittal, P., Ghosh, S., & Khadilkar, H. (2021). Fast approximate solutions using reinforcement learning for dynamic capacitated vehicle routing with time windows. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2102.12088*.
- Sun, S., Duan, Z., & Yang, D. (2015). Urban freight management with stochastic time-dependent travel times and application to large-scale transportation networks. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2015.
- Tordecilla, R. D., Juan, A. A., Montoya-Torres, J. R., Quintero-Araujo, C. L., & Panadero, J. (2021). Simulation-optimization methods for designing and assessing resilient supply chain networks under uncertainty scenarios: A review. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 106, 102166.
- Ulmer, M. W., Goodson, J. C., Mattfeld, D. C., & Thomas, B. W. (2020). On modeling stochastic dynamic vehicle routing problems. *EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistics*, 9(2), 100008.
- Vahdani, B., Veysmoradi, D., Noori, F., & Mansour, F. (2018). Two-stage multi-objective location-routing-inventory model for humanitarian logistics network design under uncertainty. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 27, 290–306.
- Wang, D., Zhu, J., Wei, X., Cheng, T. C. E., Yin, Y., & Wang, Y. (2019). Integrated production and multiple trips

- vehicle routing with time windows and uncertain travel times. *Computers & Operations Research*, 103, 1–12.
- Wang, Y., Assogba, K., Fan, J., Xu, M., Liu, Y., & Wang, H. (2019). Multi-depot green vehicle routing problem with shared transportation resource: Integration of time-dependent speed and piecewise penalty cost. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 232, 12–29.
- Wang, Y., Assogba, K., Liu, Y., Ma, X., Xu, M., & Wang, Y. (2018). Two-echelon location-routing optimization with time windows based on customer clustering. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 104, 244–260.
- Williams, A., Suler, P., & Vrbka, J. (2020). Business process optimization, cognitive decision-making algorithms, and artificial intelligence data-driven internet of things systems in sustainable smart manufacturing. *Journal of Self-Governance and Management Economics*, 8(4), 39–48.
- Xu, X., Lin, Z., Li, X., Shang, C., & Shen, Q. (2022). Multi-objective robust optimisation model for MDVRPLS in refined oil distribution. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60(22), 6772–6792.
- Yang, Z., van Osta, J.-P., van Veen, B., van Krevelen, R., van Klaveren, R., Stam, A., Kok, J., Bäck, T., & Emmerich, M. (2017). Dynamic vehicle routing with time windows in theory and practice. *Natural Computing*, 16, 119–134.
- Yao, Y., Zhu, X., Dong, H., Wu, S., Wu, H., Tong, L. C., & Zhou, X. (2019). ADMM-based problem decomposition scheme for vehicle routing problem with time windows. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 129, 156–174.
- Ye, C., He, W., & Chen, H. (2022). Electric vehicle routing models and solution algorithms in logistics distribution: A systematic review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(38), 57067–57090.
- Zarandi, M. H. F., Hemmati, A., Davari, S., & Turksen, I. B. (2013). Capacitated location-routing problem with time windows under uncertainty. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 37, 480–489.
- Zarouk, Y., Mahdavi, I., Rezaeian, J., & Santos-Arteaga, F. J. (2022). A novel multi-objective green vehicle routing and scheduling model with stochastic demand, supply, and variable travel times. *Computers & Operations Research*, 141, 105698.
- Zong, Z., Feng, T., Xia, T., Jin, D., & Li, Y. (2021). Deep Reinforcement Learning for Demand Driven Services in Logistics and Transportation Systems: A Survey. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2108.04462*.