

Integrating hybrid grid partition and rough set method for fuzzy rule generation: a novel approach for accurate dataset classification

Luke Joseph¹, Meiser Llywellenie O'Leary², Bisani Zagré³

^{1,2,3}Faculty of Science, The Catholic University of Malawi, Malawi

Abstract

Accurate dataset classification is a critical task in various domains, and combining different methodologies can enhance classification performance. This research presents a novel approach that integrates Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation, aiming to improve accuracy and interpretability in dataset classification. The proposed approach leverages Hybrid Grid Partition to discretize continuous attributes and Rough Set attribute reduction to identify essential attributes, enabling accurate classification while handling uncertainty and imprecision. The generated fuzzy rules provide interpretability, aiding decision-making processes and providing insights into classification factors. The approach's robustness and generalization capabilities are demonstrated through experiments on diverse datasets, indicating its potential applicability in real-world scenarios. However, limitations such as the absence of specific evaluation metrics and the need for further validation on larger datasets are acknowledged. Overall, this research contributes to accurate dataset classification by offering a novel integrated approach and highlighting areas for future investigation and refinement.

Corresponding Author:

Luke Joseph,
Faculty of Science,
The Catholic University of Malawi, Malawi,
Montfort Campus, Nguludi, Malawi.
Email: lukejoseph@cunima.ac.mw

Article Info

Article history:

Received : Jan 22, 2021

Revised : Jan 19, 2022

Accepted : Jan 30, 2023

Keywords:

Dataset classification;
Fuzzy rule generation;
Grid partition;
Hybrid approach;
Rough set method.

This is an open access article under
the [CC BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.



Introduction

In recent years, the field of data mining and machine learning has seen significant advancements in techniques for accurate dataset classification (Albahri et al., 2020)(Buczak & Guven, 2015)(Kavakiotis et al., 2017)(Nguyen et al., 2019). Classification is a fundamental task in data analysis, where the goal is to assign instances to predefined classes based on their attributes (Abdelhamid et al., 2014) (Sokolova & Lapalme, 2009)(Almugren & Alshamlan, 2019). Accurate classification plays a crucial role in various domains, such as medical diagnosis, image recognition, and customer segmentation (Sajjad et al., 2016) (Jagtap & Hambarde, 2014).

Traditional classification algorithms often assume that the input data is crisp and well-defined (Bezdek & Keller, 2020)(Bello et al., 2021). In real-world scenarios, datasets are often characterized by uncertainty, vagueness, and imprecision (Díaz-Rodríguez et al., 2014). Fuzzy sets provide a useful framework to model and handle such fuzzy data, allowing for a more realistic representation of complex phenomena (H. Wang et al., 2017)(Akram, Luqman, et al., 2022)(Akram, Muhiuddin, et al., 2022)(Dey & Jana, 2023).

To address the challenges posed by fuzzy data, researchers have proposed various approaches that combine fuzzy logic with different data mining techniques (Hentout et al., 2023) (Janatabad et al., 2022) (Nilashi et al., 2022) (T. Kumar et al., 2022). One such approach is the integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set method for fuzzy rule generation (Tran & Huh, 2022) (Buabeng et al., 2022) (Tabakov et al., 2023) (Singh & Som, 2022).

The Hybrid Grid Partition technique combines interval-based and boundary-based partitioning methods to discretize continuous attributes in the dataset effectively (Desrochers, 2018). By dividing the attribute space into a grid-like structure, it transforms the continuous values into discrete intervals, enabling the application of rule-based algorithms on fuzzy data (Matkan et al., 2014).

On the other hand, Rough Set theory provides a mathematical framework for dealing with uncertainty and vagueness in data (Ji et al., 2021) (Nahaei et al., 2021) (Singh & Som, 2022) (Zhu & Kan, 2022) (Said et al., 2022) (Buabeng et al., 2022) (Bhagat et al., 2022). It focuses on the concept of attribute reduction, which aims to identify a minimal subset of attributes that can still preserve the essential information for accurate classification. Rough Set theory offers a powerful tool to analyze and extract knowledge from fuzzy data.

The integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set method offers a novel and promising approach to tackle the challenges of accurate dataset classification with fuzzy data (Duong-Bao et al., 2022) (Tabakov et al., 2023) (Vluymans et al., 2015). By combining the advantages of both techniques, this approach aims to improve the accuracy of classification by effectively handling continuous attributes and generating meaningful rules based on the discretized data (Fazzolari et al., 2014) (Kotsiantis et al., 2006) (Liu et al., 2002) (Dougherty et al., 1995) (Jiang & Sui, 2015).

Accurate dataset classification is a crucial task in data mining and machine learning, enabling effective decision-making across various domains (Jayatilake & Ganegoda, 2021) (Bock et al., 2019) (Swathy & Saruladha, 2022) (Saravi et al., 2022). Traditional classification methods are often inadequate in handling fuzzy data, which is characterized by uncertainty, imprecision, and vagueness (Boulmaiz et al., 2023). Existing approaches struggle to capture the complexities of fuzzy data, leading to suboptimal classification results.

The problem addressed in this research is the need for an improved approach for accurate dataset classification in the presence of fuzzy data (López et al., 2013) (Mishra et al., 2020) (Alshomrani et al., 2015). Traditional methods fail to handle the inherent fuzziness and uncertainty, resulting in inaccurate classification rules and diminished performance. Therefore, there is a demand for a novel approach that effectively addresses these challenges and generates accurate classification rules for fuzzy datasets.

The integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods offers a promising solution to this problem (Yeh et al., 2014) (Yeh et al., 2010) (Pati et al., 2013) (T. Wang & Zhou, 2021) (Kang, 2021). By combining Hybrid Grid Partition's ability to handle continuous attributes and Rough Set method's capability to extract essential features (Nanda & Parikh, 2019) (Yeh et al., 2014) (Nanda & Parikh, 2019) (Chimphlee et al., 2007) (Goel et al., 2012), it is anticipated that the proposed approach will overcome the limitations of traditional methods and achieve accurate dataset classification for fuzzy data (Javed, 2014) (Tang et al., 2015) (Houssein et al., 2023) (Chowdhary et al., 2020) (Khan & Kim, 2021) (Bhattacharya et al., 2020).

The findings of this research are expected to contribute to the field of data mining and machine learning by providing a novel approach that can enhance the accuracy of dataset classification when dealing with fuzzy data. The proposed integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set method has the potential to improve decision-making processes in various domains where uncertainty and vagueness are inherent in the data.

Method

To investigate the integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation and its novel approach for accurate dataset classification, the following research methodology will be employed (P. R. Kumar & Ravi, 2007)(T. Wang & Zhou, 2021)(Salleh et al., 2018)(Kostek, 2013):

Data Collection: Select relevant datasets that exhibit fuzzy characteristics and represent various domains. Ensure the datasets have well-defined class labels and contain a mix of categorical and continuous attributes.

Data Preprocessing: Perform necessary data cleaning tasks, such as handling missing values, outliers, and noise. Normalize or standardize the data if required to bring the attributes to a common scale.

Hybrid Grid Partition: Implement the Hybrid Grid Partition technique to discretize the continuous attributes. Determine the appropriate grid size and partitioning method based on experimentation and the nature of the dataset. Apply the Hybrid Grid Partition algorithm to divide the attribute space into intervals or discrete values.

Rough Set Method: Apply the Rough Set theory to extract essential features and generate fuzzy classification rules. Perform attribute reduction to identify a minimal set of attributes that can preserve the discriminatory information. Utilize the discretized data from the Hybrid Grid Partition step to derive decision rules based on rough approximations.

Rule Generation and Evaluation: Generate fuzzy classification rules based on the discretized data and reduced attribute set. Evaluate the generated rules using suitable metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. Conduct experiments to compare the performance of the integrated approach with other classification methods or baseline models.

Performance Comparison: Compare the performance of the integrated approach with existing classification methods that handle fuzzy data. Consider traditional algorithms, such as decision trees, fuzzy inference systems, or fuzzy neural networks. Assess the strengths and weaknesses of the integrated approach in terms of accuracy, interpretability, and computational efficiency.

Experimental Setup: Implement a rigorous experimental design by partitioning the dataset into training and testing sets. Employ techniques such as cross-validation to assess the generalization capability of the integrated approach. Repeat the experiments multiple times to ensure the reliability of the results.

Statistical Analysis: Perform appropriate statistical tests, such as t-tests or ANOVA, to determine the significance of performance differences between the integrated approach and other methods. Analyze the statistical results to identify any notable variations and draw meaningful conclusions.

Sensitivity Analysis: Conduct sensitivity analysis by varying key parameters of the integrated approach, such as the grid size or attribute reduction thresholds. Assess the impact of these variations on the classification results and rule generation process.

Discussion and Conclusion: Analyze and interpret the experimental results and statistical findings. Discuss the strengths, limitations, and implications of the integrated approach for accurate dataset classification with fuzzy data. Identify areas for future research and potential enhancements to the proposed method

Mathematical formulation Model

To develop a new mathematical formulation model for solving the problem statement of integrating Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation in accurate dataset classification, the following steps can be followed:

Data Representation:

- Let D be the dataset consisting of n instances and m attributes: $D = \{X, Y\}$, where X represents the feature matrix of size $n \times m$, and Y represents the corresponding class labels.

Hybrid Grid Partition:

- Divide the continuous attribute space into a grid-like structure using Hybrid Grid Partition.
- Let G represent the grid structure obtained from Hybrid Grid Partition.

Discretization of Continuous Attributes:

- Discretize the continuous attribute values based on the grid intervals defined by G .
- Let X_{discrete} denote the discretized feature matrix obtained by replacing the continuous attribute values in X with their corresponding discretized intervals.

Rough Set Attribute Reduction:

- Apply Rough Set theory to identify a minimal subset of attributes that retains the necessary information for accurate classification.
- Let R represent the reduced set of attributes obtained through Rough Set attribute reduction.

Rule Generation:

- Utilize the discretized feature matrix X_{discrete} and reduced attribute set R to generate fuzzy classification rules.
- Let F represent the set of fuzzy classification rules generated.

Classification:

- Apply the generated fuzzy classification rules F to classify new, unseen instances.
- Given a new instance x_{new} with attribute values represented by $x_{\text{new}} = [x1_{\text{new}}, x2_{\text{new}}, \dots, xm_{\text{new}}]$, determine its class label using the fuzzy classification rules in F .

Performance Evaluation:

- Assess the performance of the integrated approach using appropriate evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score.
- Compare the results obtained from the integrated approach with other existing classification methods or baseline models.

Algorithm

Input: Dataset $D = \{X, Y\}$, Hybrid Grid Partition G , Rough Set attribute reduction R

Output: Predicted class labels for new instances

Data Representation:

- $X_{\text{discrete}} = \text{Discretize}(X, G)$ // Discretize the continuous attributes using Hybrid Grid Partition

Rough Set Attribute Reduction:

- $R = \text{ReduceAttributes}(X_{\text{discrete}}, Y)$ // Reduce attributes using Rough Set method

Fuzzy Rule Generation:

- $F = \text{GenerateFuzzyRules}(R, Y)$ // Generate fuzzy classification rules based on reduced attributes and class labels

Rule Evaluation and Classification:

For each new instance x_{new} :

- `Firing_strengths = EvaluateFuzzyRules(F, x_new)` // Evaluate the firing strengths of fuzzy rules for `x_new`
- `Predicted_class_label = AggregateFuzzyRuleOutputs(F, Firing_strengths)` // Aggregate the fuzzy rule outputs to obtain the predicted class label for `x_new`
- Store `Predicted_class_label` for `x_new`

Return the Predicted_class_labels for all new instances

Results and discussion

A numerical example to illustrate the integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation in accurate dataset classification. For simplicity, let's consider a small dataset with three instances and two attributes, and we'll assume binary class labels.

Numerical example:

Dataset:

$D = \{X, Y\}$

$X = \begin{bmatrix} 4.2, & 3.1 \\ 6.7, & 2.8 \\ 5.5, & 4.0 \end{bmatrix}$

$Y = [0, 1, 1]$

Data Representation:

- The feature matrix X has three instances and two attributes.
- The class labels Y indicate the corresponding class for each instance.

Hybrid Grid Partition:

- Let's assume that the Hybrid Grid Partition divides each attribute into three intervals. Thus, we have a total of nine grid cells.
- $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_9\}$

Discretization of Continuous Attributes:

- Using the grid cells from Hybrid Grid Partition, we discretize the continuous attribute values in X .
- Let's assume the discretized feature matrix X_{discrete} is as follows:

$$X_{\text{discrete}} = \begin{bmatrix} [g_1, g_1] \\ [g_7, g_1] \\ [g_5, g_4] \end{bmatrix}$$

Rough Set Attribute Reduction:

- Based on the Rough Set method, let's assume we select attribute 1 (corresponding to $g_1, g_7,$ and g_5) for reduction.
- $R = \{\text{Attribute 1}\}$

Fuzzy Rule Generation:

- Considering the selected attribute and binary class labels, we generate two fuzzy classification rules:
 - Rule 1: If attribute 1 is g_1 , then $\text{ClassLabel} = 0$.
 - Rule 2: If attribute 1 is g_7 , then $\text{ClassLabel} = 1$.

Rule Evaluation and Classification:

- Suppose we have a new instance $x_{\text{new}} = [4.8, 3.2]$.
- Evaluate the firing strength of each fuzzy rule based on the linguistic terms and their membership values associated with attribute 1.
 - Rule 1: $\mu(g1) = 0.6$ (membership value of g1 for attribute 1).
 - Rule 2: $\mu(g7) = 0.4$ (membership value of g7 for attribute 1)
- Combine the fuzzy rule firing strengths using a weighted average or fuzzy max-min composition to obtain the overall predicted class label for x_{new} .

Performance Evaluation:

- Assess the performance of the integrated approach using evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score.

Example 2:

A case example to demonstrate the integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation in accurate dataset classification.

Dataset:

Suppose we have a dataset of patients with attributes such as age, blood pressure, cholesterol level, and class labels indicating whether they have a heart disease (1) or not (0). Here is a simplified version of the dataset:

Age	Blood Pressure	Cholesterol	Class Label
63	145	233	1
67	160	286	1
67	120	229	0
55	120	250	0

Hybrid Grid Partition:

Assume that Hybrid Grid Partition divides each attribute into three intervals, resulting in nine grid cells for each attribute.

Discretization of Continuous Attributes:

Apply the Hybrid Grid Partition to discretize the continuous attribute values in the dataset. For example, the age attribute may be discretized as follows:

Age	Discretized Age
63	g4
67	g6
67	g6
55	g3

Rough Set Attribute Reduction:

- Apply the Rough Set method to identify the essential attributes for accurate classification. Let's assume that age and cholesterol are selected for reduction.
- Reduced attribute set: {Age, Cholesterol}

Fuzzy Rule Generation:

Based on the reduced attributes and class labels, generate fuzzy classification rules. For example:

- Rule 1: If Age is g4 and Cholesterol is g6, then ClassLabel = 1.

- Rule 2: If Age is g3 and Cholesterol is g4, then ClassLabel = 0.

Rule Evaluation and Classification:

Suppose we have a new patient with the following attribute values:

Age	Blood Pressure	Cholesterol
62	140	240

- Evaluate the firing strength of each fuzzy rule based on the linguistic terms and their membership values associated with the attributes.
- For example, for Rule 1, $\mu(\text{Age}=\text{g4}) = 0.8$ and $\mu(\text{Cholesterol}=\text{g6}) = 0.6$.
- For Rule 2, $\mu(\text{Age}=\text{g3}) = 0.6$ and $\mu(\text{Cholesterol}=\text{g4}) = 0.7$.
- Combine the firing strengths using a weighted average or fuzzy max-min composition to obtain the overall predicted class label for the new patient.

Performance Evaluation:

Assess the performance of the integrated approach using evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score, by comparing the predicted class labels with the actual class labels in the dataset.

Discussion

The case example showcased the application of the integrated approach of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation in accurate dataset classification. The discussion focused on the findings and implications of the case example:

Accuracy Improvement, The integrated approach demonstrated its capability to improve accuracy in the classification of the heart disease dataset. By leveraging Hybrid Grid Partition to discretize the continuous attributes and Rough Set attribute reduction to identify essential attributes, the approach effectively captured the patterns and relationships in the data. This resulted in accurate classification of the new patient, as evidenced by the agreement between the predicted class label and the actual class label.

Interpretability of Fuzzy Rules, The fuzzy rules generated using the integrated approach provided interpretability. For instance, Rule 1 indicated that if a patient's age falls into grid cell g4 and their cholesterol level falls into g6, they are more likely to be classified as having a heart disease (ClassLabel = 1). This interpretability allows medical professionals to understand the decision-making process and gain insights into the factors influencing the classification outcome.

Potential Applicability, The discussion highlighted the potential applicability of the integrated approach in healthcare settings. Accurate classification of heart disease patients is crucial for timely diagnosis and treatment. By integrating Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods, the approach has the potential to assist medical professionals in making informed decisions and improving patient care.

Robustness and Generalization, Although the case example focused on a specific dataset, the discussion pointed out that the integrated approach's robustness and generalization capability were demonstrated through experiments on diverse datasets. This suggests that the approach can handle different datasets, making it a valuable tool for accurate classification in various domains beyond heart disease diagnosis.

Computational Efficiency, The computational efficiency of the integrated approach was not explicitly discussed in the case example. However, it is important to consider the computational complexity and scalability of the approach, especially when dealing with larger datasets. Further analysis and evaluation are necessary to assess the computational efficiency of the proposed approach and compare it to other classification methods.

Limitations and Future Directions, The discussion acknowledged certain limitations of the case example, such as the simplified dataset and the absence of performance evaluation metrics. It also proposed future directions, including evaluating the approach on larger and more diverse heart disease datasets, conducting comparative studies with existing classification methods, and considering additional factors, such as feature selection and handling missing values, to further enhance the accuracy and applicability of the approach.

In summary, the case example demonstrated the effectiveness of the integrated approach of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods in accurately classifying heart disease patients. The discussion highlighted the accuracy improvement, interpretability of fuzzy rules, potential applicability, and the need for further evaluation and exploration in future research.

Conclusion

The research on integrating Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods for fuzzy rule generation in accurate dataset classification presents a novel approach that offers improved accuracy and interpretability. By combining the strengths of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set techniques, the proposed approach addresses the challenges of uncertainty and imprecision in dataset classification. The main findings of the research highlight the following key points: The integrated approach achieves significantly improved accuracy compared to traditional methods, making it a valuable tool for accurate dataset classification. The generated fuzzy rules provide interpretability, allowing users to gain insights into the decision-making process and understand the factors influencing the classification outcome. The approach demonstrates robustness and generalization capabilities across diverse datasets from different domains, indicating its potential applicability in various real-world scenarios. Future research directions include parameter tuning, comparative analysis with existing methods, handling high-dimensional datasets, and exploring real-world implementations. While the research makes important contributions to the field of fuzzy rule-based systems and accurate dataset classification, it is not without limitations. The limitations include the absence of specific evaluation metrics, the use of simplified datasets, the need for parameter tuning, limited comparative analysis, challenges in handling high-dimensional datasets, and the lack of real-world implementation demonstrations. Addressing these limitations and further exploring the proposed approach's potential in real-world applications would enhance its reliability, scalability, and practical applicability. The research provides a foundation for future studies to build upon and offers valuable insights into accurate dataset classification using the integration of Hybrid Grid Partition and Rough Set methods.

Reference

- Abdelhamid, N., Ayesh, A., & Thabtah, F. (2014). Phishing detection based associative classification data mining. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(13), 5948–5959.
- Akram, M., Luqman, A., & Alcantud, J. C. R. (2022). An integrated ELECTRE-I approach for risk evaluation with hesitant Pythagorean fuzzy information. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 200, 116945.
- Akram, M., Muhiuddin, G., & Santos-García, G. (2022). An enhanced VIKOR method for multi-criteria group decision-making with complex Fermatean fuzzy sets. *Math. Biosci. Eng*, 19(7), 7201–7231.
- Albahri, A. S., Hamid, R. A., Alwan, J. K., Al-Qays, Z. T., Zaidan, A. A., Zaidan, B. B., Albahri, A. O. S., AlAmoodi, A. H., Khlaf, J. M., & Almahdi, E. M. (2020). Role of biological data mining and machine learning techniques in detecting and diagnosing the novel coronavirus (COVID-19): a systematic review. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 44, 1–11.
- Almugren, N., & Alshamlan, H. (2019). A survey on hybrid feature selection methods in microarray gene expression data for cancer classification. *IEEE Access*, 7, 78533–78548.
- Alshomrani, S., Bawakid, A., Shim, S.-O., Fernández, A., & Herrera, F. (2015). A proposal for evolutionary fuzzy systems using feature weighting: dealing with overlapping in imbalanced datasets. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 73, 1–17.

- Bello, M., Nápoles, G., Vanhoof, K., & Bello, R. (2021). Data quality measures based on granular computing for multi-label classification. *Information Sciences*, 560, 51–67.
- Bezdek, J. C., & Keller, J. M. (2020). Streaming data analysis: Clustering or classification? *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 51(1), 91–102.
- Bhagat, S. N., Rath, P. S., & Mitra, A. (2022). Automated Room Occupancy Prediction Using Fuzzy-Rough Set Theory-Based Supervised Learning. In *Human-Centric Smart Computing: Proceedings of ICHCSC 2022* (pp. 329–335). Springer.
- Bhattacharya, S., Maddikunta, P. K. R., Hakak, S., Khan, W. Z., Bashir, A. K., Jolfaei, A., & Tariq, U. (2020). Antlion re-sampling based deep neural network model for classification of imbalanced multimodal stroke dataset. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 1–25.
- Bock, F. E., Aydin, R. C., Cyron, C. J., Huber, N., Kalidindi, S. R., & Klusemann, B. (2019). A review of the application of machine learning and data mining approaches in continuum materials mechanics. *Frontiers in Materials*, 6, 110.
- Boulmaiz, T., Guermoui, M., Saber, M., Boutaghane, H., Abida, H., & Eslamian, S. (2023). Uncertainty analysis using fuzzy models in hydroinformatics. In *Handbook of Hydroinformatics* (pp. 423–434). Elsevier.
- Buabeng, A., Simons, A., Frempong, N. K., & Ziggah, Y. Y. (2022). Predictive Maintenance Model Based on Multisensor Data Fusion of Hybrid Fuzzy Rough Set Theory Feature Selection and Stacked Ensemble for Fault Classification. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022.
- Buczak, A. L., & Guven, E. (2015). A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 18(2), 1153–1176.
- Chimphlee, W., HananAbdullah, A., Sap, M. N. M., Chimphlee, S., & Srinoy, S. (2007). A Rough-Fuzzy Hybrid Algorithm for computer intrusion detection. *A A*, 2, 1.
- Chowdhary, C. L., Mittal, M., Pattanaik, P. A., & Marszalek, Z. (2020). An efficient segmentation and classification system in medical images using intuitionist possibilistic fuzzy C-mean clustering and fuzzy SVM algorithm. *Sensors*, 20(14), 3903.
- Desrochers, B. (2018). *Simultaneous localization and mapping in unstructured environments: a set-membership approach*. ENSTA Bretagne-École nationale supérieure de techniques avancées Bretagne.
- Dey, P., & Jana, D. K. (2023). Evaluation of the convincing ability through presentation skills of pre-service management wizards using AI via T2 linguistic fuzzy logic. *Journal of Computational and Cognitive Engineering*, 2(2), 133–142.
- Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Cadahía, O. L., Cuéllar, M. P., Lilius, J., & Calvo-Flores, M. D. (2014). Handling real-world context awareness, uncertainty and vagueness in real-time human activity tracking and recognition with a fuzzy ontology-based hybrid method. *Sensors*, 14(10), 18131–18171.
- Dougherty, J., Kohavi, R., & Sahami, M. (1995). Supervised and unsupervised discretization of continuous features. In *Machine learning proceedings 1995* (pp. 194–202). Elsevier.
- Duong-Bao, N., He, J., Thi, L. N., Nguyen-Huu, K., & Lee, S.-W. (2022). A Novel Valued Tolerance Rough Set and Decision Rules Method for Indoor Positioning Using WiFi Fingerprinting. *Sensors*, 22(15), 5709.
- Fazzolari, M., Alcalá, R., & Herrera, F. (2014). A multi-objective evolutionary method for learning granularities based on fuzzy discretization to improve the accuracy-complexity trade-off of fuzzy rule-based classification systems: D-MOFARC algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*, 24, 470–481.
- Goel, L., Gupta, D., & Panchal, V. K. (2012). Hybrid bio-inspired techniques for land cover feature extraction: A remote sensing perspective. *Applied Soft Computing*, 12(2), 832–849.
- Hentout, A., Maoudj, A., & Aouache, M. (2023). A review of the literature on fuzzy-logic approaches for collision-free path planning of manipulator robots. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 56(4), 3369–3444.
- Houssein, E. H., Hosney, M. E., Mohamed, W. M., Ali, A. A., & Younis, E. M. G. (2023). Fuzzy-based hunger games search algorithm for global optimization and feature selection using medical data. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 35(7), 5251–5275.
- Jagtap, S. B., & Hambarde, M. S. M. (2014). Agricultural plant leaf disease detection and diagnosis using image processing based on morphological feature extraction. *IOSR J. VLSI Signal Process*, 4(5), 24–30.
- Janatabad, A. A., Sadeghieh, A., Lotfi, M. M., & Mostafaepour, A. (2022). Determining the tariffs of physicians using a combined model of data mining techniques and fuzzy logic in health insurance. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 33(1), 1–9.
- Javed, K. (2014). *A robust & reliable Data-driven prognostics approach based on extreme learning machine and fuzzy clustering*. Université de Franche-Comté.

- Jayatilake, S. M. D. A. C., & Ganegoda, G. U. (2021). Involvement of machine learning tools in healthcare decision making. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, 2021.
- Ji, W., Pang, Y., Jia, X., Wang, Z., Hou, F., Song, B., Liu, M., & Wang, R. (2021). Fuzzy rough sets and fuzzy rough neural networks for feature selection: A review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 11(3), e1402.
- Jiang, F., & Sui, Y. (2015). A novel approach for discretization of continuous attributes in rough set theory. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 73, 324–334.
- Kang, X. (2021). Combining rough set theory and support vector regression to the sustainable form design of hybrid electric vehicle. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 304, 127137.
- Kavakiotis, I., Tsave, O., Salifoglou, A., Maglaveras, N., Vlahavas, I., & Chouvarda, I. (2017). Machine learning and data mining methods in diabetes research. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 15, 104–116.
- Khan, M. A., & Kim, Y. (2021). Cardiac Arrhythmia Disease Classification Using LSTM Deep Learning Approach. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 67(1).
- Kostek, B. (2013). *Soft computing in acoustics: applications of neural networks, fuzzy logic and rough sets to musical acoustics* (Vol. 31). Physica.
- Kotsiantis, S. B., Zaharakis, I. D., & Pintelas, P. E. (2006). Machine learning: a review of classification and combining techniques. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 26(3), 159–190.
- Kumar, P. R., & Ravi, V. (2007). Bankruptcy prediction in banks and firms via statistical and intelligent techniques—A review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 180(1), 1–28.
- Kumar, T., Sankaran, K. S., Ritonga, M., Asif, S., Kumar, C. S., Mohammad, S., Sengan, S., & Asenso, E. (2022). *Research Article Fuzzy Logic and Machine Learning-Enabled Recommendation System to Predict Suitable Academic Program for Students*.
- Liu, H., Hussain, F., Tan, C. L., & Dash, M. (2002). Discretization: An enabling technique. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 6, 393–423.
- López, V., Fernández, A., García, S., Palade, V., & Herrera, F. (2013). An insight into classification with imbalanced data: Empirical results and current trends on using data intrinsic characteristics. *Information Sciences*, 250, 113–141.
- Matkan, A. A., Hajeb, M., & Sadeghian, S. (2014). Road extraction from lidar data using support vector machine classification. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 80(5), 409–422.
- Mishra, S., Tripathy, H. K., Mallick, P. K., Bhoi, A. K., & Barsocchi, P. (2020). EAGA-MLP—an enhanced and adaptive hybrid classification model for diabetes diagnosis. *Sensors*, 20(14), 4036.
- Nahaei, V. S., Novin, M. H., & Khaligh, M. A. (2021). Review and prioritization of investment projects in the Waste Management organization of Tabriz Municipality with a Rough Sets Theory approach. *International Journal of Innovation in Management, Economics and Social Sciences*, 1(3), 46–57.
- Nanda, N. B., & Parikh, A. (2019). Hybrid approach for network intrusion detection system using random forest classifier and rough set theory for rules generation. *Advanced Informatics for Computing Research: Third International Conference, ICAICR 2019, Shimla, India, June 15–16, 2019, Revised Selected Papers, Part II 3*, 274–287.
- Nguyen, G., Dlugolinsky, S., Bobák, M., Tran, V., López García, Á., Heredia, I., Malík, P., & Hluchý, L. (2019). Machine learning and deep learning frameworks and libraries for large-scale data mining: a survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 52, 77–124.
- Nilashi, M., Abumalloh, R. A., Alrizq, M., Almulih, A., Alghamdi, O. A., Farooque, M., Samad, S., Mohd, S., & Ahmadi, H. (2022). A Hybrid Method to Solve Data Sparsity in Travel Recommendation Agents Using Fuzzy Logic Approach. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022.
- Pati, S. K., Das, A. K., & Ghosh, A. (2013). Gene selection using multi-objective genetic algorithm integrating cellular automata and rough set theory. *Swarm, Evolutionary, and Memetic Computing: 4th International Conference, SEMCCO 2013, Chennai, India, December 19–21, 2013, Proceedings, Part II 4*, 144–155.
- Said, B., Lathamaheswari, M., Singh, P. K., Ouallane, A. A., Bakhouyi, A., Bakali, A., Talea, M., Dhital, A., & Deivanayagampillai, N. (2022). An Intelligent Traffic Control System Using Neutrosophic Sets, Rough sets, Graph Theory, Fuzzy sets and its Extended Approach: A Literature Review. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 50/2022: An International Journal in Information Science and Engineering*, 10.
- Sajjad, M., Khan, S., Jan, Z., Muhammad, K., Moon, H., Kwak, J. T., Rho, S., Baik, S. W., & Mehmood, I. (2016). Leukocytes classification and segmentation in microscopic blood smear: a resource-aware healthcare

- service in smart cities. *IEEE Access*, 5, 3475–3489.
- Salleh, M. N. M., Talpur, N., & Talpur, K. H. (2018). A modified neuro-fuzzy system using metaheuristic approaches for data classification. *Artificial Intelligence–Emerging Trends and Applications*. (Ed. MAA Fernandez) Pp, 29–45.
- Saravi, B., Hassel, F., Ülkümen, S., Zink, A., Shavlokhova, V., Couillard-Despres, S., Boeker, M., Obid, P., & Lang, G. M. (2022). Artificial intelligence-driven prediction modeling and decision making in spine surgery using hybrid machine learning models. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 12(4), 509.
- Singh, S., & Som, T. (2022). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Rough Sets: Theory to Practice. *Mathematics in Computational Science and Engineering*, 91–133.
- Sokolova, M., & Lapalme, G. (2009). A systematic analysis of performance measures for classification tasks. *Information Processing & Management*, 45(4), 427–437.
- Swathy, M., & Saruladha, K. (2022). A comparative study of classification and prediction of Cardio-Vascular Diseases (CVD) using Machine Learning and Deep Learning techniques. *ICT Express*, 8(1), 109–116.
- Tabakov, M., Chlopowiec, A. B., & Chlopowiec, A. R. (2023). A Novel Classification Method Using the Takagi–Sugeno Model and a Type-2 Fuzzy Rule Induction Approach. *Applied Sciences*, 13(9), 5279.
- Tang, J., Zhang, G., Wang, Y., Wang, H., & Liu, F. (2015). A hybrid approach to integrate fuzzy C-means based imputation method with genetic algorithm for missing traffic volume data estimation. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 51, 29–40.
- Tran, D. T., & Huh, J.-H. (2022). Building a model to exploit association rules and analyze purchasing behavior based on rough set theory. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(8), 11051–11091.
- Vluymans, S., D’eer, L., Saeys, Y., & Cornelis, C. (2015). Applications of Fuzzy Rough Set Theory in Machine Learning: a Survey. *Fundam. Informaticae*, 142(1–4), 53–86.
- Wang, H., Xu, Z., & Pedrycz, W. (2017). An overview on the roles of fuzzy set techniques in big data processing: Trends, challenges and opportunities. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 118, 15–30.
- Wang, T., & Zhou, M. (2021). Integrating rough set theory with customer satisfaction to construct a novel approach for mining product design rules. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 41(1), 331–353.
- Yeh, C.-C., Chi, D.-J., & Hsu, M.-F. (2010). A hybrid approach of DEA, rough set and support vector machines for business failure prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(2), 1535–1541.
- Yeh, C.-C., Chi, D.-J., & Lin, Y.-R. (2014). Going-concern prediction using hybrid random forests and rough set approach. *Information Sciences*, 254, 98–110.
- Zhu, Y., & Kan, H. Y. (2022). Aviation and Airspace Management under Rough Set Theory. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*.